

● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

I FW

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Application : <u>09469506</u> | Examiner : <u>Nguyen</u> | GAU : <u>2662</u> |
| From : <u>ewc</u> | Location : <u>IDO</u> FMF FDC | Date : <u>2/11/05</u> |
| Tracking # : <u>06058791</u> | | Week Date : <u>12-27-04</u> |

| DOC CODE | DOC DATE | MISCELLANEOUS |
|--|----------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1449 | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IDS | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CLM | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IIFW | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Fees |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SRFW | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OATH | <u>4-12-00</u> | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 312 | _____ | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SPEC | _____ | |

[RUSH] MESSAGE: _____

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: *Assuming your unasked question had to do with the 2nd inventor's not signing the oath, here's your answer:*

PETDEC 12/21/2000 grants Rule 1.47(a) status in the 4th paragraph. disregard strike-through + "REFUSING" in the letter's heading.

If you had a different question in mind, pose it in a new query

INITIALS: *Inf*

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04